Winds in (disc accreting) binaries



Chris Done, University of Durham Ryota Tomaru, Megumi Shidatsu, Tad Takahashi Misaki Mizumoto

AGN feedback via wind and jet

- Winds: 0.0001<v<0.4c
- Thermal pressure driven
- Radiative driving ? $L > \sigma_T / \sigma LEdd$
 - UV line driven
 - superEddington
- Magnetic ? Transports L as well as M/KE/p
- Jets: Γ~10
- Magnetic !!





AGN feedback

• How do the wind and jet link to the accretion flow?



Winds from discs in strong gravity

- How do the wind and jet link to the accretion flow?
- Study more easily in galactic binaries as watch them change together!
- Should be comparable (scaleable) if everything B fields (plasma)
- Jet surely is B field !
- Wind maybe!



Stellar mass BH/NS disc varies!

- Mass accretion rate through the disc varies on timescales of days/weeks/months especially dramatic in BHB!
- Not often L>Ledd but a few do!



- Eddington limit
- inward gravity balanced by outward radiation pressure on electrons
- $F_{\text{grav}} = (1 L/L_{Edd}) \text{ GM/R}^2$
- superEddington flows:
- $L > L_{Edd}$
- But disc geometry?

ZZ V NNN	
$\rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow$	-
www.	

- Ldisc>Ledd
- Launch wind from inner disc - fast, v~0.3c for launch radius ~20Rg for L~2-10 LEdd (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Kubota & Done 2019)
- BHB: V404 Cyg, GRS1915, GROJ1655(?)
- NS: ULX-P, Z sources
- AGN: extreme NLS1



- Can advect inwards or power wind outwards
- Or both!
- Lacc~10 Ledd
- W|inds $L_{KE} \sim L_{rad}$
- Clumpy, complex
- Takeuchi, Ohsuga, Mineshige (2013)



- ULX-P: L~100LEdd to get to 10⁴⁰ ergs/s from NS
- Wind from R>100Rg: non conservative mass transfer GW progenitors



- ULX-P: L~100LEdd to get to 10^{40} ergs/s from NS
- Wind from R>100Rg: non conservative mass transfer - GW progenitors. BUT FAINT IN XRISM!!



Effectively superEddington winds: σ>σT

- Eddington defined for electron scattering σT
- Wind if additional coupling $\sigma > \sigma T$.
- $M = \sigma/\sigma T$ force multiplier
- Wind if L > Ledd / M
- M~1000 'classic' UV line driving low ionisation



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es} \sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es}/\sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- Momentum absorbed in line accelerates wind so more momentum absorbed in line
- UV line cross-section much bigger than electron scattering, so wind at $L_{UV} \sim \sigma_{es}/\sigma_{UV} L_{edd} << L_{Edd}$



- UV radiation
- Low ionisation state in disc photosphere so abundant ions with UV line transitions weak FUV/X-ray irradiation!



- UV radiation
- Low ionisation state in disc photosphere so abundant ions with UV line transitions weak FUV/X-ray irradiation!
- Multiple UV transitions!!



O stars!

- UV radiation: Castor Abott Klein (CAK)
- Low ionisation state: abundant ions with UV line transitions – weak FUV/X-ray from shocks in the wind M~1000
- Complex structure (radiation driving always unstable shadows!)



UV section of disc

- Disk wind geometry rise up to H~R, have too much L for radius so pushed outwards
- Wind from UV section of disc $v^2 \sim Rg/R$



UV section of disc: NS/BHB

- NS/BHB at ~Ledd: UV at large R so low L_{UV}
- X-rays from small R ionise so M~few not 1000 Proga & Kallman 2002



UV section of disc: WD

- WD: R~1000x larger than NS so L~1000x smaller
- NS at 0.5Ledd= 10^{38} means WD at 10^{35} ergs/s
- But M=1000 so effectively Ledd for UV wind!



UV section of disc: AGN

- BH at 0.5Ledd for 10⁸ M gives UV zone at small R
- High velocity wind 0.2c BALs/UFOs
- M=1000 if no X-rays
- M~10 even if quite strong X-rays so effectively superEdd



- Clumpy, complex
- 10⁸ Msun, L/Ledd = 0.5 Proga et al 2004, Elvis & Risaliti 2010, Nomura et al 2019. Mizumoto talk



Radiation driven winds

- L>Ledd: anything! ULX, BHB, NS
- L<LEdd: UV line driving
 - AGN: UV zone at small R/Rg fast wind. BALs & UFO (Mizumoto talk!)
 - WD: UV zone at larger R/Rg slowish wind.

• BUT WE ALSO SEE WINDS IN L<LEdd BHB/NS!!!

Winds in NS & winds in BHB





GX13+1 0.5 LEdd Ueda et al 2004, Allen et al 2018 GRS1915+105 0.3-3LEdd Neilsen & Lee 2009

Winds in NS & winds in BHB

- Slow!! v<~1000km/s, Narrow vbroad<~vout:
- Most material is highly ionised FeXXVI & XXV
- SPECTACULAR IN XRISM: resolution at 6-10 keV
- Not seen in all systems! High inclination (Ponti et al 2012), large discs/long Porb (Diaz Trigo 2016)
- GRS1915, 4U1630, H1743, GRO J1655
- GX13+1, Cir X-1, T5X2



Thermally driven Winds

- X-ray source irradiates top of disc, heating it to Compton temperature
- T_{IC} depends only on spectrum



Begelman McKee Shields 1983

Thermally driven Winds

- Hot so expands
- Radius where thermal velocity is escape: RIC
- Wind for R > R_{IC} driven by pressure gradient so v_∞=v_{esc}
- Bigger disc, bigger wind!!
- Can't have thermal wind if launched at R<< 0.1 R_{IC} by L<<L_{edd}



Begelman McKee Shields 1983

• Mdot(wind)~Mdot(acc) x log Rout/RIC!! L_{KE}<<Lrad

Can it work ? GX13+1 NS 0.5LEdd



- Bipolar wind based on analytic thermalradiative models
- Misses the fastest parts of the wind



Do proper models - Radiation hydro





What about magnetically driven winds??

- Unknown!!
- Need specific B field geometry but 8000 then can get powerful wind from 6000 inner disc
- TRANSPORTS L as ⁴ well as mass/energy
- Intrinsic part of accretion process!

Fukumura et al 2010, 2014



Danoity

B winds? GRO J1655

• March 12 standard wind! L~0.05-0.1LEdd



B winds? GRO J1655

• April 1st- very similar L/LEdd and spectral shape! Homan & Nielsen 2012, Higginbottom et al 2018



B winds? GRO J1655

- Not thermal wind at the observed L/Ledd (Miller et al 2006, 2008, Luketic et al 2010, Higginbottom et al 2014)
- Magnetic wind? If so, transient not ubiquitous as obviously not present on March 12??
- Or L>LEdd wind has gone optically thick?? (Shidatsu et al 2016)



Two types of spectra in stellar BH

• Dramatic change in shape as well as luminosity at L~0.02 Ledd (for slow changes)



Models of accretion flows



IR opt UV X-ray



Discs – geometrically thin, cool, optically thick SS73 Plus X-ray tail/corona No jet IR opt UV X-ray



'ADAF'- geometrically thick, hot, optically thin Jet from hot flow Γ ~1.5-2 Only low L/Ledd

Black hole binaries: SPECTRA



 Observe dramatic changes in SED with mass accretion rate onto black hole



Anticorrelates with jet: B wind? but spectra change:Radiative/thermal





Disc Winds, no jet

See Ryota Tomaru's talk

Hard state Hot flow No winds, jet

Conclusions

- Thermal-radiative winds explain what we see as X-ray absorbing winds in NS/BHB
- Predict wind responds to changing spectrum harder SED means launch closer in, wind goes faster & more highly ionised so less visible (as well as changing photo-ionisation stability Chakrovorty, Bianchi etc)
- CAN'T HAVE UBIQUITOUS B WINDS AS STRONG AS NEEDED TO EXPLAIN APRIL 1st GRO J1655
- Slow thermal-radiative(dust) winds in AGN: warm absorbers
- Fast UV line driven disc winds in AGN: UFOs as well as BALs?